

# The possible origin of the common wall lizard, *Podarcis muralis* (Laurenti, 1768) in Ukraine

Oleksandra Oskyrko<sup>1,3</sup>, Hanna Laakkonen<sup>2</sup>, Iolanda Silva-Rocha<sup>3</sup>, Daniel Jablonski<sup>4</sup>, Oleksiy Marushchak<sup>5</sup>, Tobias Uller<sup>2</sup>, Miguel A. Carretero<sup>3,6</sup>

- 1 Educational and Scientific Center «Institute of Biology and Medicine», Taras Shevchenko national university of Kyiv, prosp. Akademika Hlushkova 2, Kyiv, Ukraine
- 2 Department of Biology, Lund University, Sölvegatan 37, 223 62 Lund, Sweden
- 3 CIBIO Research Centre in Biodiversity and Genetic Resources, InBIO, Universidade do Porto, Campus de Vairão, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal
- 4 Department of Zoology, Comenius University in Bratislava, Mlynská dolina, Ilkovičova 6, 842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia
- 5 I. I. Schmalhausen Institute of Zoology, NAS of Ukraine, vul. B. Khmelnytskogo, 15, Kyiv, Ukraine
- 6 Departamento de Biologia, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto, R. Campo Alegre, s/n, 4169 007, Porto, Portugal

http://zoobank.org/0C2EDDE3-18D3-4B35-A7B1-B1BB32B1229F

Corresponding author: Oleksandra Oskyrko (sashaoskirko@gmail.com)

Academic editor: Silke Schweiger + Received 26 December 2019 + Accepted 11 March 2020 + Published 22 May 2020

# Abstract

The phylogenetic relationships and possible origin of a putative non-native population of *Podarcis muralis* in Ukraine were assessed based on sequences of the mitochondrial gene *cytochrome b*. Phylogenetic analysis showed that the Ukrainian lizards belong to two distinct mitochondrial lineages (haplogroups), both occurring within the Central Balkan clade, which includes most of central and south-eastern European populations. From overall three detected Ukrainian haplotypes, one haplotype share same genetic signal with the hyplotype from the locality Bjala (Bulgaria), the other two are unique for Ukrainian population. Two of haplotypes correspond with haplogroup covering large geographic region of Bulgaria, Serbia, and Romania. These results reinforce previous findings that the species has the ability to establish new populations out of its native range. While most introductions to Germany and Britain have been deliberate, it appears likely that human transport of goods via the Danube river of goods is responsible for the range expansion into Ukraine.

## Key Words

genetic diversity, human-mediated introduction, Lacertidae, natural dispersions

# Introduction

Most terrestrial reptiles tend to have poor dispersal abilities (Steinitz et al. 2006; Qian 2009). However, many species are increasingly transported by humans, which promotes both short- and long-distance range expansion (Kraus 2009). Lizards are the most abundant and widespread reptiles (Novosolov et al. 2016) and many species live close to humans or in highly disturbed habitats. Moreover, they can withstand long periods of starvation and desiccation, and many use small cavities as refuge, increasing their chances of being passively transported (McCue 2010; Silva-Rocha et al. 2019). It is, hence, not surprising that many lizard species have been introduced around the world. In some cases, repeated introductions of the same species increase the probability of admixture, which may enhance the invasive potential of introduced species (Kolbe et al. 2007; Michaelides et al. 2013) or increase the risk of hybridization with native species or lineages (Santos et al. 2019).

The common wall lizard, *Podarcis muralis* (Laurenti, 1768) (Squamata: Lacertidae) has the largest native

Copyright Oleksandra Oskyrko et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



distribution of all species of the genus (Arnold et al. 2007; Sillero et al. 2014). The species occupies a great variety of habitats within the range from northern Iberia in the west and to north-western Turkey in the east, and from the Netherlands in the north to southern Italy (Schulte 2008). At its north-eastern limit (Romania), P. muralis occurs primarily along the Carpathian Mountains and in several sites in the Danube river valley and near the city of Dobruja (Gruschwitz and Böhme 1986; Schulte 2008). Remarkably, this lizard has successfully established populations in central and north-western Europe far outside its sub-Mediterranean native range (Schulte et al. 2012; Michaelides et al. 2013; Santos et al. 2019). These introductions are either deliberate by releasing individuals in the wild or by unintentional escape from captivity, or passive dispersal via vehicles, boats and railways (Burke and Deichsel 2008; Schulte et al. 2008; Jablonski et al. 2019; Santos et al. 2019). The high phenotypic variability of this species (Bellati et al. 2011) often hampers the detection of such introductions within the native range and makes it nearly impossible to establish the geographic origin of non-native populations or detect hybridization based on morphology alone. However, the high phylogenetic diversity of the species, structured in up to 23 clades (Salvi et al. 2013), allows identifying the source of putative introductions. As such, there is already evidence of cryptic introductions and lineage admixture with these allochthonous populations (Schulte et al. 2012; Michaelides et al. 2015; Beninde et al. 2018; Jablonski et al. 2019; Santos et al. 2019).

Since 2012, *Podarcis muralis* is known from the territory of Ukraine (southern part of Odessa region, Reni city; Matveev et al. 2013). This is 250 km from the natural range of this species (Constanța, Romania) (Schulte 2008). Small populations of *P. muralis* have been reported from northern Dobrudja, near the current border of Romania and Ukraine, in the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Gruschwitz and Böhme 1986). The reports were confirmed later (Kotenko 1993; Strugariu et al. 2008; Tudor and Cozma 2011). Therefore, the aim of this study is to assess whether i) the Ukrainian populations are introduced or native, ii) discuss possible colonization routes and the origin of the species in Ukraine; and iii) deduce implications of these findings.

#### Material and methods

We collected a total of 21 P. muralis samples from Ukraine (n = 11) and Romania (n = 10) (Table 1). Specimens were collected in Odessa region (the district of Reni) in 2017–2018, Ukraine. *Podarcis muralis* was found in two different locations near the city of Reni; in an abandoned complex opposite the sea harbor and near water canals. Romanian samples (near the border with Ukraine) were collected in 2016–2017. That study area was chosen as the closest for *P. muralis* (Török 2010; Tudor and Cozma 2011), and a poorly sampled region within the native

**Table 1.** Novel sequences used in this study and their geographic position.

Accession	Country	Locality	Haplogroup	Coordinates	
number	•			Ν	Е
MN866797,	Ukraine	Reni	II	45.43	28.29
MN866798,					
MN866799,					
MN866802,					
MN866804,					
MN866805,					
MN866807					
MN866800,	Ukraine	Reni	V	45.43	28.29
MN866801,					
MN866803,					
MN866806					
MN866808	Romania	Gaura cu	IV	44.66	21.70
		Musca, Banat			
MN866809,	Romania	Măru	II	45.45	22.44
MN866810					
MN866811	Romania	Zăvoi	II	45.52	22.39
MN866812,	Romania	Bănița	II	45.45	23.30
MN866813,					
MN866814					
MN866815	Romania	Dâmbovicioara	II	45.44	25.22
MN866816	Romania	Coronini	III	44.67	21.68
MN866817	Romania	Voineasa	II	45.43	23.85

range. For further investigations, tail tips were collected from live specimens. Tissue samples were preserved in 96% ethanol.

Total genomic DNA was extracted from tissue samples with Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit or following the standard saline method (Sambrook et al. 1989). A fragment of 656 bp of mitochondrial (mt) marker cytochrome b (cyt-b) was amplified by PCR using the primers for GluDG-A and cyt-b2 (Kocher et al. 1989; Palumbi et al. 2002). The PCR products were purified and sequenced by an external service (Beckman Coulter Genomics). The amplification conditions followed Jablonski et al. (2019). The sequences were checked and aligned with those from GenBank using ClustalW (Larkin et al. 2007). Sequence alignments were analyzed using the Maximum Likelihood (ML) approach. The first phylogenetic tree was built to infer the relationships between 21 P. muralis samples (from Ukraine and Romania) and 254 published sequences from the native species range (Michaelides et al. 2013; Salvi et al. 2013; Jablonski et al. 2019; Santos et al. 2019). The ML analysis was performed in MEGA-X (Tamura et al. 2011) with the heuristic search. The node support was calculated over 1000 bootstrap replicates. HKY+G was chosen as a model of sequence evolution selected for each dataset under the Bayesian Information Criterion (Hasegawa et al. 1985). Then, a second was constructed to get more precision inferring the relationships between study samples and 64 sequences from lizards in Eastern European countries with the accession numbers MG851915-MG851979, HQ652886-HQ652887 (Schulte et al. 2012; Jablonski et al. 2019). The ML analysis for second phylogenetic tree was also performed in ME-GA-X but the model of sequence evolution selected was HKY (Hasegawa et al. 1985). P-distance between haplogroups II and III was calculated in MEGA-X. In addition to the tree-building method, we analyzed the genealogical relationships among haplotypes by means of a statistical parsimony network using the program TCS 1.21 and TcsBU-master (Clement et al. 2000; Santos et al. 2016), using only the second tree sequences. All new sequences were deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers present on Table 1.

### Results

The final alignment includes 85 sequences with the length 656 bp. According to the haplotype-network analysis of

656 bp-long sequence alignment (Fig. 2), 23 mt haplotypes were detected within the Central Balkan clade structured into five main haplogroups (Figs 2, 3): haplogroup I found in the area from eastern Germany, Czech Republic, Slovakia, Hungary and northern Bosnia and Herzegovina (terminology sensu Jablonski et al. 2019); and four Balkan haplogroups (haplogroups II, III, IV, V) from western Romania, Serbia, Bulgaria and Ukraine. Four mt haplotypes were detected in the haplogroup I, including one slightly distant mt haplotype from northern Bosnia and Herzegovina. Ten mt haplotypes were detected in haplogroup II (western Romania, eastern Serbia, northern Bulgaria and Ukraine), three mt haplotypes in haplogroup



**Figure 1.** ML phylogenetic tree depicting the relationships between *cytochrome b* sequences haplotypes from Central Balkan clade of *Podarcis muralis* and those from Ukrainian introduced populations. Bootstrap support is indicated next to the nodes of interest. GenBank numbers follow previous phylogeographic studies (Schulte et al. 2012; Jablonski et al. 2019). Coloration of particular lineages corresponding with those used in Jablonski et al. (2019). Inset: an adult male from Reni, Ukraine.



**Figure 2.** The main haplogroups of the Central Balkan clade. Circle size is proportional to the number of samples under the same *cyto-chrome b* haplotype. Open circles represent missing haplotypes. Colors of haplotypes follow colors on Fig. 1.



**Figure 3.** Geographical position of the main *cytochrome b* haplogroups of the Central Balkan clade in the studied area. Approximate species distribution is given in green shading modified according to Jablonski et al. 2019).

III (Serbia and western Romania), four haplotypes in mt haplogroup IV (Serbian and western Romania), and two mt haplotypes in haplogroup V (near the Danube River in Romania and Ukraine).

The ML phylogenetic tree indicates that the Ukrainian lizards belong to two distinct mt lineages, both occurring within the officially called Central Balkan clade, which includes most of the lizards from Eastern Europe. Using only 85 sequences from Eastern Europe (only Central Balkan clade) it was revealed that the samples from Ukraine fall into two different mt haplotypes (Fig. 1). One mt haplotype corresponds to one lineage in Romania and the other corresponds to a mitochondrial lineage that is present across a large geographical range covering regions in Bulgaria, Serbia and Romania. The Romanian samples collected in this study are included in three different mt lineages. All samples from Ukraine form unique haplotypes except samples MN866797, MN866798, MN866799, that share haplotype with population from Bjala, Bulgaria (Fig. 2).

The most common mt haplotype of the haplogroup I is widely distributed across the Czech Republic, western, central, and eastern Slovakia, eastern Germany, Hungary and northern Bosnia and Herzegovina (20 localities, 48 individuals). Haplogroup II is composed of specimens from Romania, Serbia and Bulgaria. This group also includes some Ukrainian samples. The Ukrainian samples from Haplogroup V are very similar to haplotypes found in Romania (from the village of Svinita). Haplogroups II and V are separated from each other by 2% of uncorrected *p*-distance in their *cyt-b* sequences. Overall, Ukrainian samples belong at least to two different mt haplogroups with distinct locations within the native range (Fig. 3): haplogroup III is composed of samples from south-western Romania and southern Serbia and haplogroup IV is formed by samples from south-western Romania and central-western Serbia. The haplotype diversity is low in Ukraine (three mt haplotypes among 11 individuals) compared to the most likely populations of their source (17 mt haplotypes among 26 individuals from Romania, Serbia and Bulgaria).

### Discussion

Our results confirm previous reports of several haplogroups that are not deeply diverged among *P. muralis* populations within the mtDNA clades in Eastern Europe. In a previous study (Jablonski et al. 2019), 24 mt haplotypes (1143 bp-long sequence alignment) were detected within the Central Balkan clade structured into five main haplogroups. We also confirmed five haplogroups (Fig. 2) and 23 mt haplotypes were detected within the Central Balkan clade. Regarding the putative allochthonous population in Ukraine, our results provide evidence of two different *cyt-b* haplogroups (Figs 2, 3): haplotype III closely related to one Romanian sample from Svinita and haplotype II related to a mitochondrial lineage widespread across Bulgaria, Serbia and Romania. These two lineages from Ukraine are quite distant (~2% divergence) suggesting two separate introductions. However, it cannot be ruled out that the Ukrainian lizards originate from a location that harbors both haplotypes. More extensive samplings in other areas, near the Danube or in other localities in Romania, as well as additional genetic markers, are needed to discriminate between the two hypotheses.

These results confirm previous findings that common wall lizards are able to establish stable populations outside their native range. In Ukraine, this species was found only in the south-west of Odessa region (near the border with Romania). Podarcis muralis has saxicolous habits which helps the lizards to easily adapt to habitats constructed by humans, such as walls (Fig. 1). The rapid introduction of lizards on the European continent and beyond was not only the result of release of captive animals, but may have been facilitated by railways, roads and other means of transport of goods (Covaciu-Markov et al. 2006; Gherghel et al. 2009; Santos et al. 2019). Finding lizards near the port of Reni is consistent with transport by cargo boats on the Danube river. Network analysis supports the hypothesis that P. muralis from Ukraine were introduced from Romania. The hypothesis of passive transportation by cargo via the Danube river is here favored over a deliberate introduction. More intensive sampling along the Danube River is needed to better confirm this hypothesis. In any case, introduction from mid-short-distance, seems the most possible colonization pathway.

A considerable number of wall lizard introductions have already been identified, namely in Romania (Covaciu-Markov et al. 2006; Strugariu et al. 2008; Gherghel et al. 2009), Germany, Austria, Switzerland, France, Belgium, the Netherlands and Croatia (Schulte et al. 2012), Great Britain (Smith 1951; Stafford 1989; Michaelides et al. 2013) and even in the USA (Brown et al. 1995) and Canada (Allan et al. 2006). Evidence of repeated introductions has been found in some cases. For example, populations in southern England originate from at least nine separate native sources in France and Italy, but secondary introductions are common (Michaelides et al. 2015), while lineage admixture have been reported from NW Iberian Peninsula and Slovenia (Santos et al. 2019). In the case of Ukraine, the port of Reni was one of the most important ports of the Danube during the 20th Century, and the introduction of P. muralis with trees or stones seems quite possible. Granites were mined, for example, near the town of Măčin near the Danube, located just 25 km south of Reni (Matveev et al. 2013). Therefore, Ukrainian lizards could have been introduced in this way. It also appears likely that lizards were introduced via boats from other parts of Romania. Most Romanian towns and villages near the Danube have ports where boats have stop points, which can favor lizard transport to Reni without active or deliberate introduction.

Currently, *P. muralis* is restricted to the vicinity of Reni but we cannot exclude closer undiscovered populations on the Slovak-Ukraine or Hungarian-Ukraine border

where the species has native range (Sillero et al. 2014). Climate seems likely to play the key role in determining the northern range limit of P. muralis. Adaptation to cool environments has been predicted to be a primary driver of lacertid evolution, which will have repercussions on their performance in future warmer scenarios (Garcia-Porta et al. 2019). The northeast boundary of the species can reach through southern Slovakia, Romania, southern Moldova, southern regions Ukraine and Crimea, and the western Ciscaucasia (Wirga and Majtyka 2015). For the moment, *P. muralis* has the status of introduced species in Ukraine but if deleterious effects on native biota were detected it should be classified as invasive (Kraus 2009). Meanwhile, monitoring this population is recommended in Ukraine to prevent further expansion and potential negative effects on native biota.

#### Acknowledgements

The research was supported by project PTDC/BIA-CBI/28014/2017 Projetos de Desenvolvimento e Implementação de Infraestruturas de Investigação inseridas no RNIE - Programa Operacional Regional do Norte - Portugal 2020 funded by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (Portugal) and by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the contract No. APVV-15-0147. IS-R is supported by a PostDoc contract under the project with the reference PTDC/BIA-EVL/27958/2017, funded by national funds through FCT/MCTES and by Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional - FEDER, through COMPETE – POCI – Programa Operacional Competividade e Internacionalização – POCI-01-0145-FEDER-027958.

### References

- Allan GM, Prelypchan CJ, Gregory PT (2006) Population profile of an introduced species, the common wall lizard (*Podarcis muralis*), on Vancouver Island, Canada. Canadian Journal of Zoology 84: 51–57. https://doi.org/10.1139/z05-176
- Arnold EN, Arribas O, Carranza S (2007) Systematics of the Palaearctic and Oriental lizard tribe Lacertini (Squamata: Lacertidae: Lacertinae), with descriptions of eight new genera. Zootaxa 1430: 1–86. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1430.1.1
- Bellati A, Pellitteri-Rosa A, Sacchi R, Nistri A, Galimberti A, Casiraghi M, Fasola M, Galeotti P (2011) Molecular survey of morphological subspecies reveals new mitochondrial lineages in *Podarcis muralis* (Squamata: Lacertidae) from the Tuscan Archipelago (Italy). Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research 49(3): 240– 250. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0469.2011.00619.x
- Beninde J, Feldmeier S, Veith M, Hochkirsch A (2018) Admixture of hybrid swarms of native and introduced lizards in cities is determined by the cityscape structure and invasion history. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B (285): 20180143. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.2018.0143

- Brown RM, Gist DH, Taylor DH (1995) Home range ecology of an introduced population of the European wall lizard *Podarcis muralis* (Lacertilia: Lacertidae) in Cincinnati, Ohio. The American Midland Naturalist 133: 344–359. https://doi.org/10.2307/2426399
- Burke RL, Deichsel G (2008) Lacertid lizards introduced into North America: history and future. In: Mitchell JC, Jung Brown RE, Bartholomew B (Eds) Urban Herpetology. Salt Lake City, Utah (Society for the Study of amphibians and reptiles), 347–355. https://www. academia.edu/16432396/Lacertid\_lizards\_introduced\_into\_North\_ America\_history\_and\_future
- Garcia-Porta J, Irisarri I, Kirchner M, Kirchhof S, Brown JL, MacLeod A, Turner AP, Ahmadzadeh F, Albadalejo G, Crnobrnja-Isailović J, de la Riva I, Fawzi A, Galán P, Göçmen B, Harris DJ, Jiménez-Robles O, Joger U, Jovanović-Glavaš O, Karış M, Koziel G, Künzel S, Lyra M, Miles D, Nogales MJ, Oğuz MA, Pafilis P, Rancilhac L, Rodríguez N, Rodríguez-Concepción B, Sanchez E, Salvi D, Slimani T, S'khifa A, Quasqaei A, Žagar A, Lemmon A, Lemmon E, Carretero MA, Carranza S, Philippe H, Sinervo B, Müller J, Vences M, Wollemberg-Valero KC (2019) Environmental temperatures shape thermal physiology as well as diversification and genome-wide substitution rates in lizards. Nature Communications 10(4077): 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11943-x
- Gherghel I, Strugariu A, Sahlean TC, Zamifrescu O (2009) Anthropogenic impact or anthropogenic accomodation? Distribution range expansion of the common wall lizard (*Podarcis muralis*) by means of artificial habitats in the north-eastern limits of its distribution range. Acta Herpetologica 4(2): 183–189. https://doi.org/10.5061/ dryad.1dr06
- Clement M, Posada D, Crandall K (2000) TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. Molecular Ecology 9(10): 1657–1660. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01020.x
- Covaciu-Markov SD, Bogdan HV, Ferenti S (2006) Notes regarding the presence of some *Podarcis muralis* (Laurenti, 1768) populations on the railroads of western Romania // North-Western Journal of Zoology 2(2): 126–130. http://biozoojournals.ro/herprom/cont/v2/06. herp.rom.08.Strugariu.pdf
- Gruschwitz M, Böhme W (1986) Podarcis muralis (Laurenti, 1768) Mauereidechse. In: Böhme W (Eds) Handbuch der Reptilien und Amphibien Europas (Vol. 2). Echsen III (Podarcis), 155–208.
- Hasegawa M, Kishino H, Yano T (1985) Dating of human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. Journal of Molecular Evolution 22(2): 160–174. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02101694
- Jablonski D, Gvoždík V, Choleva L, Jandzik D, Moravec J, Mačát Z, Veselý M (2019) Tracing the maternal origin of the common wall lizard (*Podarcis muralis*) on the northern range margin in Central Europe. Mitochondrion 46: 149–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. mito.2018.04.006
- Kocher TD, Thomas WK, Meyer A, Edwards SV, Paabo S, Villablanca FX, Wilson AC (1989) Dynamics of mitochondrial DNA evolution in animals: Amplification and sequencing with conserved primers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 86: 6196– 6200. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.86.16.6196
- Kolbe JJ, Glor RE, Rodríguez-Schettino L, Chamizo-Lara A, Larson A, Losos JB (2007) Multiple Sources, Admixture, and Genetic Variation in Introduced *Anolis* Lizard Populations. Conservation Biology 21: 1612–1625. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2007.00826.x

- Kotenko TI (1993) Reptiles of Beshtepe hills (Romania). Vestnik Zoologii 1: 1–71. http://mail.izan.kiev.ua/vz-pdf/1993/ N\_1\_93/93\_1\_15-Kotenko.pdf
- Kraus F (2009) Alien Amphibians and Reptiles. Springer, New York, 563 pp. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8946-6
- Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H, Valentin F, Wallace IM, Wilm A, Lopez R, Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Higgins DG (2007) Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics 23(21): 2947–2948. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404
- Matveev AS, Kukushkin OV, Sokolov LV (2013) Common wall lizard, *Podarcis muralis* (Sauria: Lacertidae), as a new species in the fauna of Ukraine. Proceedings of Ukrainian herpetological society 4: 95– 108. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/324278837\_Common\_wall\_lizard\_*Podarcis*\_muralis\_Sauria\_Lacertidae\_as\_a\_new\_ species\_in\_the\_fauna\_of\_Ukraine
- McCue MD (2010) Starvation physiology: reviewing the different strategies animals use to survive a common challenge. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 156(A): 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cbpa.2010.01.002
- Michaelides S, White GM, Bell C, Uller T (2013) Human introductions create opportunities for intra-specific hybridization in an alien lizard. Biological Invasions 15: 1101–1112. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10530-012-0353-3
- Michaelides SN, While GM, Zajac N, Uller T (2015) Widespread primary, but geographically restricted secondary, human introductions of wall lizards, *Podarcis muralis*. Molecular Ecology 24(11): 2702– 2714. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13206
- Novosolov M, Rodda GH, Feldman A, Kadison AE, Dor R, Meiri S (2016) Power in numbers. Drivers of high population density in insular lizards. Global Ecology and Biogeography 25: 87–95. https:// doi.org/10.1111/geb.12390
- Palumbi S, Martin A, Romano S, McMillan W, Stice L, Grabowski G (2002) The Simple Fool's Guide to PCR, Version 2.0. Hawaii: University of Hawaii.
- Qian H (2009) Global comparisons of beta diversity among mammals, birds, reptiles, and amphibians across spatial scales and taxonomic ranks. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 47: 509–514. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1759-6831.2009.00043.x
- Salvi D, Harris DJ, Kaliontzopoulou A, Carretero MA, Pinho C (2013) Persistence across Pleistocene ice ages in Mediterranean and extra-Mediterranean refugia: phylogeographic insights from the common wall lizard. BMC Evolutionary Biology 13: 1–147. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-147
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular Cloning: a laboratory manual (2<sup>nd</sup> edn.). Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York, 1626 pp. http://openlibrary.org/details/molecularcloning00samb\_117
- Santos AM, Cabezas MP, Tavares AI, Xavier R, Branco M (2016) tcsBU: a tool to extend TCS network layout and visualization. Bioinformatics 32(4): 627–628. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv636
- Santos JL, Zagar A, Drašler K, Rato C, Ayres C, Harris DJ, Carretero MA, Salvi D (2019) Phylogeographic evidence for multiple long-distance introductions of the common wall lizard associated

with human trade and transport. Amphibia-Reptilia 40(1): 121–127. https://doi.org/10.1163/15685381-20181040

- Schulte U (2008) Die Mauereidechse. Erfolgreich im Schlepptau des Menschen. Laurenti-Verlag, Bielefeld, 160 pp.
- Schulte P, Geraci C, Zumwalde R, Hoover M, Kuempel E (2008) Occupational risk management of engineered nanoparticles. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene 5: 239–249. https://doi. org/10.1080/15459620801907840
- Schulte U, Veith M, Hochkirch A (2012) Rapid genetic assimilation of native wall lizard populations (*Podarcis muralis*) through extensive hybridization with introduced lineages. Molecular Ecology 21: 4313–4326. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05693.x
- Sillero N, Bonardi A, Corti C, Creemers R, Crochet P, Ficetola GF, Kuzmin S, Lymberakis P, Pous PD, Sindaco R, Speybroeck J, Toxopeus B, Vieites DR, Vences M (2014) Updated distribution and biogeography of amphibians and reptiles of Europe. Amphibia-Reptilia 35: 1–31. https://doi.org/10.1163/15685381-00002935
- Silva-Rocha I, Salvi D, Carretero MA, Ficetola GF (2019) Alien reptiles on Mediterranean islands: a model for invasion biogeography. Diversity and Distributions 25: 995–1005. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12911
- Smith MR (1951) The wall lizard (*Lacerta muralis*) in England. British Journal of Herpetology 1: 99–100.
- Stafford P (1989) Lizards of the British Isles. Natural History Series No 46. Shire Publications, Aylesbury, 24 pp.
- Steinitz O, Heller J, Tsoar A, Rotem D, Kadmon R (2006) Environment, dispersal and patterns of species similarity. Journal of Biogeography 33: 1044–1054. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01473.x
- Strugariu A, Gherghel I, Zamifrescu ŞR (2008) Conquering new ground: On the presence of *Podarcis muralis* (Reptilia: Lacertidae) in Bucharest, the capital city of Romania. Herpetologica Romania 2: 47–50. https://www.academia.edu/31110216/Conquering\_new\_ ground\_On\_the\_presence\_of\_Podarcis\_muralis\_Reptilia\_Lacertidae\_in\_Bucharest\_the\_capital\_city\_of\_Romania
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Molecular Biology and Evolution 28(10): 2731–2739. https:// doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121
- Török Z (2010) GIS technique used for managing data on distribution in Romania of the species belonging to fam. Lacertidae. Scientific Annals of the Danube Delta Institute 16: 71–84. https://www.academia. edu/31114935/GIS\_technique\_used\_for\_managing\_data\_on\_distribution\_in\_Romania\_of\_the\_species\_beloging\_to\_fam.\_Lacertidae
- Tudor M, Cozma A (2011) Research on isolated populations of common wall lizard *Podarcis muralis* (Laurenti, 1768) (Reptilia) from Dobrogea (Romania and Bulgaria). Travaux du Múseum National d'Histoire Naturelle «Grigore Antipa» 54: 125–131. https://doi. org/10.2478/v10191-011-0010-6
- Wirga M, Majtyka T (2015) Do climatic requirements explain the northern range of European reptiles? Common wall lizard *Podarcis muralis* (Laur.) (Squamata, Lacertidae) as an example. North-Western Journal of Zoology 11(2): 296–303. http://biozoojournals.ro/nwjz/ content/v11n2/nwjz\_151801\_Wirga.pdf