

Article

Revisited Molecular Phylogeny of the Genus *Sphaerotheca* (Anura: Dicroglossidae): The Biogeographic Status of Northernmost Populations and Further Taxonomic Changes

Daniel Jablonski ^{1,*}, Rafaqat Masroor ² and Sylvia Hofmann ³

¹ Department of Zoology, Comenius University in Bratislava, Ilkovičova 6, Mlynská dolina, 84215 Bratislava, Slovakia

² Zoological Sciences Division, Pakistan Museum of Natural History, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan; rafaqat.masroor78@gmail.com

³ Centre of Taxonomy and Evolutionary Research, Zoological Research Museum Alexander Koenig, 53113 Bonn, Germany; s.hofmann@leibniz-zfmk.de

* Correspondence: daniel.jablonski@uniba.sk

Abstract: Heretofore, the populations of the genus *Sphaerotheca* Günther, 1859 (Dicroglossidae) in their northern and western borders laying in Pakistan have been assigned to two species, *S. breviceps* (Schneider, 1799) and *S. strachani* (Murray, 1884). The genus originated in the Oriental zoogeographic region and comes to close geographic proximity with the Palearctic region in Pakistan. The recent molecular studies have on one hand restricted the distribution range of *S. breviceps* to the eastern coastal plains of India and, on the other hand, revealed the northern- and westernmost population in India as a separate species, *S. pashchima* Padhye et al., 2017. This species has recently been synonymized with *S. maskeyi* (Schleich and Anders, 1998). These taxonomic changes, however, warranted the need for validation of Pakistani *Sphaerotheca* based on genetic data. We sequenced and analyzed 16S rRNA mitochondrial gene from specimens originating from the Himalayan foothills of Pakistan and compared these with all available GenBank sequences of the genus. Based on this data, we conclude that the Himalayan foothills of Pakistan are occupied by *S. maskeyi*. Simultaneously, we bring the first record of this species for the Palearctic region. We further suggest that more genetic material from across Pakistan is required to ascertain the validity of *S. strachani* and for the phylogeographic evaluation of western and northern border populations of the genus. Our geographically wide and revisited molecular phylogeny shows that the genus exhibits genetic diversity suggesting further taxonomic changes. The low level of genetic divergences between *S. breviceps* and *S. magadha* Prasad et al., 2019 compared to other species of the genus, indicates that the taxonomic status of *S. magadha* is questionable. Moreover, we found that *S. magadha* and *S. swani* (Mayers and Leviton, 1956) are genetically conspecific with *S. breviceps* and both should be thus considered its junior synonyms. On the other hand, *S. dobsonii* and populations from Myanmar need further detailed investigations.

Keywords: distribution; diversity; first record; Indian subcontinent; mitochondrial DNA; nomenclature; Palearctic region



Citation: Jablonski, D.; Masroor, R.; Hofmann, S. Revisited Molecular Phylogeny of the Genus *Sphaerotheca* (Anura: Dicroglossidae): The Biogeographic Status of Northernmost Populations and Further Taxonomic Changes. *Diversity* **2021**, *13*, 216. <https://doi.org/10.3390/d13050216>

Academic Editor: Luc Legal

Received: 18 March 2021

Accepted: 28 April 2021

Published: 18 May 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The genus *Sphaerotheca* Günther, 1859 represents medium-sized frogs of the family Dicroglossidae and comprises currently ten morphologically similar taxa distributed in Pakistan, India, Nepal, Bhutan, Myanmar, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, and probably Maldives [1]. In Pakistan, they are known from the Hab and Malir River valleys in Karachi, the Himalayan foothills and Potwar Plateau, and the Cholistan Desert [2,3]. The genus originated in the Oriental zoogeographical region and the territory of Pakistan represents the northern- and westernmost limit of the overall range of the genus [2,3]. *Sphaerotheca*

frogs have high species diversity in the Indian subcontinent [4] and, due to descriptions of various taxa, changing taxonomy, and high genetic diversity with an unclear distribution, they are objects of several studies [5–8].

The species status of the genus in the Pakistani territory (the northern and western range borders) is unclear with the presumption that these populations are not conspecific to the species reported from the country. Khan [2] and Masroor [3] mentioned the taxon *Sphaerotheca breviceps* (Schneider, 1799) for the Pakistani territory with its type locality in Tranquebar, Tamil Nadu, India [9]. Moreover, Pakistan is also known to harbor *S. strachani* (Murray, 1884) from the type locality Sindh (Mulleer = Malir, near Karachi), with so far, unclear taxonomic status. However, the overall distribution and the diversity of the genus based on genetic data from India and Nepal suggest that the Pakistani populations should not be assigned under previously mentioned taxa [4,5,7,8]. Therefore, we bring the first genetic data of the genus *Sphaerotheca* from northern Pakistan (Figure 1) to provide arguments for its genetic affiliation that could resolve the taxonomy. Simultaneously, our most updated and revised phylogeny of the genus provides a novel view on relationships among these frogs with further taxonomic consequences.



Figure 1. The external morphological color variation of *Sphaerotheca maskeyi* from Pakistan: (A) Shah Alam Baba (adult; DJ 9471 used in this study); (B) Batai Kalay, Qadir Nagar River, Buner (subadult); (C) Parera, Chakwal; (D) Kotli, Azad and Jammu Kashmir (subadult); (E) Shakarparian, Islamabad (adult); (F) Margalla Hills National Park (juvenile).

2. Materials and Methods

Two adult specimens (DJ9470-71) were collected in mid-September 2019 during a night survey in Shah Alam Baba, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province, Pakistan (34.73° N, 72.09° E, 883 m a.s.l. and 34.72° N, 79.10° E, 1013 m a.s.l., respectively; Figures 1 and 2). These frogs were found in the agricultural area close to the direction of a dry river valley. The specimens were photographed alive (Nikon D810, and 105 mm macro lens) and subsequently euthanized and preserved in 70% ethanol. Before preservation, a tongue was taken as a tissue sample and transferred into 96% ethanol, kept at ambient temperature during the time of the fieldwork, and later stored at -20°C .




Figure 2. (A) Map displaying the localities of genetically covered (16S rRNA) populations of the genus *Sphaerotheca*. (B) Median-joining haplotype network showing the intraspecific relationships of *S. maskeyi* with regard to Pakistani populations. Colors correspond to phylogenetic groups shown in Figure 3. The depicted individual originates from Shah Alam Baba, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province (DJ9470), Pakistan. Details to the locality numbers are listed in the Supplementary Table S1.

DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Tissue Kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer's protocols. A fragment of the mitochondrial 16S region (~600 bp) was amplified using the primers 16sar (5'-CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT-3') and 16br (5'-CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT-3'). Purified PCR products were sequenced in both directions by Macrogen (Amsterdam, the Netherlands). Sequences were revised manually and aligned along with sequences from the NCBI GenBank based on their secondary structures using RNAsalsa 0.8.1 [10] and the ribosomal structure model of *Bos taurus* downloaded from www.zfmk.de/en/research/research-centres-and-groups/rnasalsa (accessed on 1 March 2021) (for GenBank accession numbers, see Supplementary Table S1).




Figure 3. Bayesian inference tree of the genus *Sphaerotheca* based on 16S rRNA (~600 bp) sequence data. Rectangles at branch nodes refer to posterior probabilities ≥ 0.95 . For maximum-likelihood trees, see supplementary Figure S1. The details on the geographic distribution of detected clades can be seen in Figure 2.

To reconstruct the phylogeny of the genus *Sphaerotheca*, we included all available 16S sequences from the NCBI GenBank database (~560 bp; Table S1) and made maximum-likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) trees using RAXML v.8.2.12 [11] and MrBayes v.3.2.6 [12], respectively. We ran RAXML with the GTRGAMMA model and 1000 bootstrap replicates. In the Bayesian analysis, we assigned the doublet model (16×16) proposed by [13] to the rRNA stem regions. For this procedure, unambiguous stem pairs were derived based on the consensus structure from RNAsalsa and specified in the MrBayes input file. For the analysis of the remaining positions, the standard 4×4 option was applied using a GTR evolutionary model. MrBayes was run for 15 million generations, with four parallel Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations and four chains (one cold and three heated), sampling trees every 500th generation and using a random tree as a starting point. Inspection of the standard deviation of split frequencies after the final run as well as the effective sample size value of the traces using Tracer v. 1.7.1 [14] indicated convergence of Markov chains. The first 25% of the samples of each run were discarded

as burn-in. Based on the sampled trees, a consensus tree was produced using the `sumt` command in MrBayes. To support the tree phylogeny and show phylogenetic clustering of defined clades, we performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on allelic data (as frequencies) of the same dataset we used for tree analysis (without outgroups) using R package Adegnet [15] implemented in the R statistical environment [16]. Prior to the PCA, missing data were replaced by "NA".

To assess the evolutionary distance between our samples and the *Sphaerotheca* clades recovered from the phylogenetic analysis, uncorrected p-distances were calculated between the clades using Mega-X v.11.0 [17] with the pairwise deletion option, 1000 bootstrap replications, and by considering both transitions and transversions.

Finally, a median-joining haplotype network was created with PopART [18].

3. Results

Mitochondrial 16S rRNA data confirmed that both the analyzed sequences from the Pakistani territory cluster with the clade associated to *S. maskeyi* (Schleich and Anders, 1998) (Figures 2 and 3). This represents the first published record of the species from the Palearctic region and the first-ever published and genetically supported record for the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province, Pakistan. This clade is distributed from western, central, and northeastern India, and Nepal with the northwestern-most recorded distribution in Pakistan (Figure 2A). It forms a genetically diversified clade, showing significant intra-clade variability (Figure 3). However, this variability is not high (0.28%; Figure 2B, Table S2). The haplotype network also showed little variation within sequences of *S. maskeyi*, whereas Pakistani sequences share the haplotype with populations of the species from northern India and Nepal. This haplotype is separated by only one mutation step from the central haplotype of all other investigated populations of *S. maskeyi* (Figure 2B).

Overall, in the 16S phylogenies (ML and BI) of the analyzed sequences, we detected six well-supported phylogenetic clades, named as follows (Figure 3, Figures S1 and S2): *breviceps*, distributed across southern, central, and northeastern India and Nepal which includes the taxa *S. breviceps*, *S. magadha* Prasad et al., 2019 and *S. swani* (Mayers and Leviton, 1956) and their type localities; *maskeyi*, from western, central, and northeastern India, Pakistan and Nepal, including from type localities of *S. pashchima* Padhye et al., 2017 and *S. maskeyi*; *pluvialis*, from Sri Lanka (close to the type locality of *S. rolandae* (Dubois, 1983)) and southern and central India; *Sphaerotheca* sp., from Myanmar, probably representing an unknown taxon (p-distance more than 7% from other clades; Table 1); *dobsonii* from the western Indian coast; *bengaluru*, represented by sequence data from the type locality of *S. bengaluru* Deepak et al., 2020 (Table 1, Figure 3 and Figures S1 and S2)). The topology of the studied sequences is similar in both analyses, but it is not well-resolved in the ML tree (see the position of *S. dobsonii* in Figure S1). In the BI tree, two main groups of clades are inferred, forming sister position (i) *pluvialis* + *Sphaerotheca* sp. + *dobsonii* + *breviceps*, and (ii) *bengaluru* + *maskeyi*. These detected sequence groups (except *Sphaerotheca* sp.) present an admixed phylogeographic pattern (Figure 2). The highest number of clades was found in central and southern India and their overall interclade genetic p-distances reached more than 10% (Table 1 and Table S2). The resulting topology and clade support (Figure 3 and Figure S1) confirmed the validity of the following species: *S. bengaluru*, *S. breviceps*, *S. dobsonii*, *S. maskeyi*, and *S. pluvialis*. In accordance with the detected phylogeny, the PCA analysis revealed six distinct clusters (Figure S2), with *S. dobsonii* showing a sequence out of the confidence interval. The sequence with accession number GU191122 from GenBank, which had been assigned as *S. rolandae*, is nested in the clade of *S. maskeyi* (genetic distance ~1%, Table 1) and is apparently erroneously identified as *S. maskeyi*. Sequences of *S. magadha* and *S. swani* (*breviceps* clade) formed an intra-clade lineage of *S. breviceps* with a p-distance of less than 2% and should be thus treated as its junior synonyms. Similarly, according to our analysis, the recently described *S. pashchima* is conspecific with *S. maskeyi*.

Table 1. Uncorrected genetic distances (%) between investigated *Sphaerotheca* sequences as shown in Figure 1. Given are p-distance values for 16S mitochondrial gene (lower-left) and standard error estimates (upper-right). The complete pairwise p-distance matrix is presented in Supplementary Table S2.

	<i>bengaluru</i>	<i>maskeyi</i>	<i>Sphaerotheca</i> sp.	<i>dobsonii</i>	<i>breviceps</i>	<i>pluvialis</i>
<i>bengaluru</i>	-	1.61	1.90	2.01	2.14	1.62
<i>maskeyi</i>	7.42	-	1.78	1.78	2.04	1.53
<i>Sphaerotheca</i> sp.	9.04	8.73	-	1.98	1.98	1.56
<i>dobsonii</i>	9.91	8.37	9.32	-	1.77	1.43
<i>breviceps</i>	11.27	10.92	9.96	8.99	-	1.71
<i>pluvialis</i>	7.89	7.60	7.39	6.79	8.62	-

4. Discussion

Herein, we provide a mitochondrial phylogeny and the first genetic data of the genus *Sphaerotheca* from Pakistan. We found that the population inhabiting the Himalayan foothills is assigned to *S. maskeyi* and, for the first time, we provide evidence for the presence of the species in the Palearctic region (Figure 2) and in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province of Pakistan (although the collection of the Pakistan Museum of Natural History contains specimens from Mardan [PMNH 171] and Mansehra [PMNH 2160] of that province, collected before this study). The distribution and genetic pattern of *S. maskeyi* clade provide indications in support of a possible colonization through the Indian subcontinent with the northernmost geographic limits in Pakistan. If true, this would be in contrast with the Oriental genus *Microhyla* (Microhylidae), which is limited, according to the current knowledge, only to Oriental parts of Pakistan [19]. On the other hand, both these genera show a similar phylogeographic pattern displaying low genetic variability in river plains of northern Indian subcontinent. This might be the result of missing geographic barriers in the lowlands of northern India and southeastern Pakistan as previously suggested [2,19]. However, current sampling remains insufficient to draw final conclusions on the biogeography of *S. maskeyi* but urges further studies for a future understanding of the species' evolutionary history.

In the literature, two taxa of the genus have been reported from Pakistan, i.e., *S. breviceps* and *S. strachani*. The former has been reported from the Oriental part of the Himalayan foothills, Cholistan desert, and around Karachi [2], whereas the latter only from southern Pakistan [20]. The widely distributed species *S. breviceps* has long been considered a species complex [9,21]. Consequently, the western Indian population of the genus *Sphaerotheca* from Maharashtra, Gujarat, and Karnataka has been recently described as *S. pashchima* [5]. These authors also suggested the presence of this taxon in the state of Rajasthan. Recent molecular studies have thus unraveled the long-standing mystery in restricting the distribution range of *S. breviceps* to the east coastal plains of India [4,7]. However, a new study [8] from the material originating from type localities of two taxa from Nepal (*S. maskeyi*, *S. swani*) showed that *S. pashchima* is conspecific with *S. maskeyi* and thus this former taxon should be its junior synonym. From the viewpoint of Pakistani localities, the northern limit of the distribution range of *S. maskeyi* has now extended from Dehradun, Uttarakhand, in the Himalayan foothills [7], to northwestern Pakistan and eastern Nepal, whereas the species' southwestern limit is restricted to the region in Maharashtra and Gujarat, where it needs further confirmation [4,7].

The other little-known taxon (*S. strachani*) was described from Mulleer (Malir), Sindh, Pakistan [20]. Although Murray [22] was inclined to assign his specimens to *S. breviceps*, he was later convinced to describe them as *S. strachani* based on several morphological characteristics including the short longitudinal plaits on the back and the fold across the body behind the forelegs. Although several studies suggest that the taxon *S. strachani* is a synonym of *S. breviceps* [2,9,20,23], the validity of the taxon *S. strachani* and its possible distributional boundaries should be tested through genetic data. Nevertheless, according to current data, *S. maskeyi* is the species that has the westernmost distribution reaching close

to the Himalayan foothills and the Sindh region of Pakistan (see the map in [3] and Figure 2 in this study). Since there are no major geographical barriers between western Indian records of *S. maskeyi* and the type locality of *S. strachani* around Karachi (see Figure 2A), we rather expect that *S. strachani* could be a phenotypic variant of *S. maskeyi*. The external variation is known throughout populations of the species range [7,8] and Figure 1 in this study. However, genetic material from Karachi, as well as other parts of southern Pakistan and northwestern India, will be of great importance in ascertaining the specific status of *S. strachani*.

A high level of genetic distances was detected between the clades, suggesting the existence of unrecognized taxa (e.g., *Sphaerotheca* sp., Figure 3). On the other hand, the GenBank sequence of *S. rolandae* (GU191122), which clusters within the *maskeyi* clade, was probably morphologically misidentified with *S. maskeyi*, originating from the unknown locality of India. The type locality of *S. rolandae* is in Kurunegala, Sri Lanka, and there are no records of this species from the Indian subcontinent (e.g., [4,7]). The recently described *S. magadha* [6] and *S. pashchima* [5] represent the intraspecific variability of *S. breviceps* and *S. maskeyi*, respectively (see Figure 3 and Figure S1, ~1–2% in p-distances, Table 1, Table S2). Thus, in the molecular phylogenetic context and regarding the genetic distances of other members of the genus, these taxa, including *S. swani* (*breviceps* clade), should not be considered separate species [8]. However, the genus requires further integrative research.

Given the current taxonomy of the genus and the fact that some species and populations might be threatened, especially near mountain ranges or at the edge of the distribution range (e.g., Pakistan), a thorough taxonomic revision—including a wide DNA sampling covering all taxa and coupled with morphological and bioacoustic data—is needed to better understand species delineation and their geographic distribution.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/d13050216/s1>, Figure S1: Maximum-likelihood tree of the genus *Sphaerotheca* with main detected clades. The details on the geographic distribution of detected clades can be seen in Figure 2. Colors corresponds with clades shown in Figure 3. Rectangles at branch nodes refer to bootstrap values ≥ 75 . Figure S2: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of defined clades of *Sphaerotheca* genus with suggested taxonomic affiliation showing inter- and intraclade distances. The oval outlines in PCA represent 95% confidence intervals. First (PC1) and second (PC2) principal components explain 18.4% and 13.9% of the observed variance. Table S1: List of *Sphaerotheca* species used in the present study, including sample ID or voucher numbers, sample localities, and GenBank accession numbers. For the locality identifier see Figure 1. Coordinates are given in decimal degrees. § = sample of photographed specimen (see Figure 1). NCBI = National Center for Biotechnology Information. Table S2: Complete pairwise p-distance matrix for all samples used in this study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.J. and S.H.; methodology, all authors; analysis, D.J., S.H.; investigation, D.J., R.M. and S.H.; resources, all authors; data curation, all authors; writing—original draft preparation, D.J. and S.H.; writing—review and editing, all authors; visualization, D.J. and S.H.; funding acquisition, D.J. and S.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the German Science Foundation (DFG), grant number HO 3792/8-1 to SH and by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under contract no. APVV-19-0076 to DJ.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the regulations for the protection of terrestrial wild animals under the permits of the Pakistan Museum of Natural History, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The new sequence data presented in this study (DJ9470, DJ9471) are openly available in GenBank under the accession numbers MW676044 and MW676045, respectively.

Acknowledgments: We thank Muhammad Idrees for his help in the field, and Jana Poláková for her assistance with laboratory work. We also thank three anonymous reviewers that improved the first version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. Frost, D.R. Amphibian Species of the World: An Online Reference Version 6.0 Electronic Database. *American Museum of Natural History, New York*. Available online: <http://research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/index.html> (accessed on 1 March 2021).
2. Khan, M.S. *Amphibians and Reptiles of Pakistan*; Krieger Publishing Company: Malabar, FL, USA, 2006; ISBN 978-089-464-952-3.
3. Masroor, R. *A Contribution to the Herpetology of Northern Pakistan: The Amphibians and Reptiles of Margalla Hills National Park and Surrounding Regions*; Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles: New York, NY, USA; Chimaira Buchhandelsgesellschaft mbH: Frankfurt, Germany, 2012; ISBN 978-091-698-483-0.
4. Deepak, P.; Dinesh, K.P.; Prasad, K.V.; Das, A.; Ashadevi, J.S. Distribution status of the Western Burrowing Frog, *Sphaerotheca pashchima* in India. *Zootaxa* **2020**, *4894*, 146–150. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Padhye, A.; Dahanukar, N.; Sulakhe, S.; Dandekar, N.; Limaye, S.; Jamdade, K. *Sphaerotheca pashchima*, a new species of burrowing frog (Anura: Dicroglossidae) from western India. *J. Threat. Taxa* **2017**, *9*, 10286–10296. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Prasad, V.K.; Dinesh, K.P.; Das, A.; Swamy, P.; Shinde, A.D.; Vishnu, J.B. A new species of *Sphaerotheca* Gunther, 1859 (Amphibia: Anura: Dicroglossidae) from the agro ecosystems of Chota Nagpur Plateau, India. *Rec. Zool. Surv. India* **2019**, *119*, 197–210. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Dandekar, N.; Sulakhe, S.; Padhye, A. Range extension of the western burrowing frog, *Sphaerotheca pashchima* (Anura: Dicroglossidae), in Central and Northern India, with an overview of the distribution of other Indian species in the genus *Sphaerotheca*. *Reptiles Amphib.* **2020**, *27*, 390–396.
8. Khatiwada, J.R.; Wang, B.; Zhao, T.; Xie, F.; Jiang, J. An integrative taxonomy of amphibians of Nepal: An updated status and distribution. *Asian Herpetol. Res.* **2021**, *12*, 1–35. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Dubois, A. Note préliminaire sur le groupe de *Rana* (*Tomopterna*) *breviceps* Schneider, 1799 (Amphibiens, Anoures), avec diagnose d'une sous-espèce nouvelle de Ceylan. *Alytes* **1983**, *2*, 163–170.
10. Stocsits, R.R.; Letsch, H.; Hertel, J.; Misof, B.; Stadler, P.F. Accurate and efficient reconstruction of deep phylogenies from structured RNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2009**, *37*, 6184–6193. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Stamatakis, A. RAxML Version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **2014**, *30*, 1312–1313. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Ronquist, F.; Teslenko, M.; van der Mark, P.; Ayres, D.L.; Darling, A.; Höhna, S.; Larget, B.; Liu, L.; Suchard, M.A.; Huelsenbeck, J.P. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst. Biol.* **2012**, *61*, 539–542. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Schoniger, M.; von Haeseler, A. Toward assigning helical regions in alignments of ribosomal RNA and testing the appropriateness of evolutionary models. *J. Mol. Evol.* **1999**, *49*, 691–698. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Rambaut, A.; Drummond, A.J.; Xie, D.; Baele, G.; Suchard, M.A. Posterior Summarization in Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. *Syst. Biol.* **2018**, *67*, 901–904. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Jombart, T. ADEGENET: A.R. package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. *Bioinformatics* **2008**, *24*, 1403–1405. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing 2020. Available online: <https://www.R-project.org/> (accessed on 1 March 2021).
17. Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Li, M.; Knyaz, C.; Tamura, K. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2018**, *35*, 1547–1549. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Leigh, J.W.; Bryant, D. PopART: Full-feature software for haplotype network construction. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **2015**, *6*, 1110–1116. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Jablonski, D.; Khan, M.A.; Masroor, R. The genus *Microhyla* (Anura: Microhylidae) in Pakistan: Species status and origins. *Zootaxa* **2020**, *4845*, 293–296. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Minton, S.A. A contribution to the herpetology of West Pakistan. *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.* **1966**, *134*, 27–184.
21. Dutta, S.K. Comments on the species status and distribution of *Tomopterna dobsonii* Boulenger (Anura: Ranidae) in India. *Rec. Zool. Surv. India* **1986**, *83*, 123–127.
22. Murray, J.A. *The Vertebrate Zoology of Sind: A Systematic Account, with Descriptions of All the Known Species of Mammals, Birds, and Reptiles Inhabiting the Province, Observations on Their Habits, & c., Tables of Their Geographical Distribution in Persia, Beloochistan, and Afghanistan, Punjab, North-West Provinces, and the Peninsula of India Generally*; Richardson & Co.: London, UK; Education Society's Press: Bombay, India, 1884.
23. Mertens, R. Die Amphibien und Reptilien West-Pakistans. *Stuttg. Beiträge zur Nat.* **1969**, *197*, 1–96.

Supplemental Information Table S1

Table S1. List of *Sphaerotheca* species used in the present study, including sample ID or voucher numbers, sample localities and GenBank accession numbers. Locality identifier (no) refer to the Fig. 1. Coordinates are given in decimal degrees. § = sample of photographed specimen (see Fig. 1).


no	Clade	Sample ID/voucher	Source	Locality	NCBI	N	E
1	<i>dobsonii</i>	BNHS 6010	Padhye et al. 2017	Bankot-Mankangad Road, Maharashtra	KY215974	17.9801	73.0649
2	<i>dobsonii</i>	INHER Amphibia-86	Padhye et al. 2017	Tamhini,Pune, Maharashtra	KY215970	18.4773	73.4267
3	<i>dobsonii</i>	WILD-16-AMP-651	Padhye et al. 2017	Devi Hasool, Maharashtra	KY215971	16.7415	73.4320
4	<i>dobsonii</i>	BNHS 6008	Padhye et al. 2017	Devi Hasool, Maharashtra	KY215972	16.7415	73.4320
5	<i>dobsonii</i>	-	Hasan et al. 2014	Bajpe, Mangalore, Karnataka	AB530608	12.9842	74.8813
6	<i>dobsonii</i>	-	Padhye et al. 2017	India, Bankot, Maharashtra	KY215973	17.9793	73.0487
7	<i>dobsonii</i>	-	Padhye et al. 2017	India, Tamhini, Pune, Maharashtra	KY215969	18.4469	73.4305
8	<i>dobsonii</i>	-	Kotaki et al. 2008	Bajpe, Mangalore, Karnataka	AB277305	12.9842	74.8813
9	<i>ptivalis</i>	INHER-Amphibia-180	Dandekar et al. 2020	Wadala Tukam near Tadoba,Chandrapur, Maharashtra	MT773262	20.3000	79.2620
10	<i>ptivalis</i>	ZSI A9074	Vences et al. 2000	Pattarvakkam Hill, Tamil Nadu	AF215418	12.6960	80.0300
11	<i>ptivalis</i>	ZSI 2681	Bossuyt et al. 2000	Sri Lanka	AF249042	07.8731	80.7718
12	<i>Sphaerotheca</i> sp.	-	Mulcahy et al. 2018	Myanmar, Sagingi, Chathin, ca. 2 km WNW of Chathin Wildlife Sanctuary, San Myaing camp, Kanbalur Township	MG935992	23.5744	95.7378
13	<i>Sphaerotheca</i> sp.	-	Mulcahy et al. 2018	Myanmar	MG935993	21.9162	95.9560
14	<i>Sphaerotheca</i> sp.	-	Vences et al. 2000	Myanmar	AF215417	21.9162	95.9560
15	<i>Sphaerotheca</i> sp.	-	Delome et al. 2004	Myanmar	AY880442	21.916	95.9560
16	<i>breviceps</i>	WILD-16-AMP-645	Padhye et al. 2017	Tranquebar, Tamil Nadu	KY215978	11.0621	79.8128
17	<i>breviceps</i>	BNHS 6005	Padhye et al. 2017	Tranquebar, Tamil Nadu	KY215977	11.0621	79.8128
18	<i>breviceps</i>	BNHS 6006	Padhye et al. 2017	Mathon, Jharkhand	KY215975	23.7758	86.8092
19	<i>breviceps</i>	WILD-16-AMP-647	Padhye et al. 2017	Mathon, Jharkhand	KY215976	23.7758	86.8092
20	<i>breviceps</i>	ZSI/WRC/2179	Prasad et al. 2019	Kodema, Jharkhand	MK694738	24.4180	85.4680
21	<i>breviceps</i>	INHER Amphibia-189	Dandekar et al. 2020	Bramhapuri, Chandrapur, Maharashtra	MT773258	20.6237	79.8473
22	<i>breviceps</i>	INHER Amphibia-191	Dandekar et al. 2020	Bramhapuri, Chandrapur, Maharashtra	MT773259	20.6237	79.8473
23	<i>maskei</i>	9470§	this study	Pakistan	MW676044	34.7300	72.9000
24	<i>maskei</i>	9471	this study	Pakistan	MW676045	34.7200	72.1000
25	<i>maskei</i>	BNHS 6014	Padhye et al. 2017	Talekhar, near the Phansad WLS	KY215984	18.4700	72.9000
26	<i>maskei</i>	WILD-16-AMP-658	Dandekar et al. 2020	Talekhar, near the Phansad WLS	MT798141	18.4700	72.9000
27	<i>maskei</i>	BNHS 6016	Padhye et al. 2017	Wada, Thane, Maharashtra	KY215986	19.6870	73.0000
28	<i>maskei</i>	BNHS 6013	Padhye et al. 2017	Kolad, Raigad, Maharashtra	KY215983	18.4037	73.3213
29	<i>maskei</i>	WILD-16-AMP-657	Dandekar et al. 2020	Kolad, Raigad, Maharashtra	MT798140	18.4037	73.3213
30	<i>maskei</i>	WILD-16-AMP-644	Padhye et al. 2017	Tamhini, Pune	KY215994	18.4773	73.4267
31	<i>maskei</i>	BNHS 6003	Padhye et al. 2017	Ahwa, Gujarat	KY215992	20.7644	73.6757
32	<i>maskei</i>	WILD-16-AMP-643	Padhye et al. 2017	Ahwa, Gujarat	KY215993	20.7644	73.6757
33	<i>maskei</i>	BNHS 6018	Padhye et al. 2017	Waghai-Ahwa Road, Dang, Gujarat	KY215988	20.7090	73.7090
34	<i>maskei</i>	INHER-Amphibia-175	Dandekar et al. 2020	Pune City, Maharashtra	MT773254	18.4871	73.7741
35	<i>maskei</i>	BNHS 6015	Padhye et al. 2017	Akole, Sangamner, Maharashtra	KY215985	19.5180	73.9230
36	<i>maskei</i>	BNHS 6019	Padhye et al. 2017	Ahwa-Chinchli Road, Dang, Gujarat	KY215989	20.7650	73.9720
37	<i>maskei</i>	WILD-16-AMP-642	Padhye et al. 2017	Saswad, Pune, Maharashtra	KY215991	18.3075	74.0830
38	<i>maskei</i>	BNHS 6012	Padhye et al. 2017	Near Yellapur, Karnataka	KY215981	14.9799	74.7314
39	<i>maskei</i>	ZSI-WRC A/1550	Padhye et al. 2017	Near Yellapur, Karnataka	KY215982	14.9799	74.7314
40	<i>maskei</i>	WILD-16-AMP-656	Dandekar et al. 2020	Near Yellapur, Karnataka	MT798139	14.9799	74.7314
41	<i>maskei</i>	ZSI-WRC A/1549	Padhye et al. 2017	Yellapur-Halliyal Rd., Karnataka	KY215980	15.1602	74.7587
42	<i>maskei</i>	BNHS 6011	Padhye et al. 2017	Dharwad-Halliyal-Yellapur Rd., Karnataka	KY215979	15.3494	74.8675
43	<i>maskei</i>	WILD-16-AMP-655	Dandekar et al. 2020	Dharwad-Halliyal-Yellapur Rd., Karnataka	MT798138	15.3494	74.8675
44	<i>maskei</i>	WILD-16-AMP-641	Padhye et al. 2017	Rehekuri WLS, Maharashtra	KY215990	18.5982	74.9742
45	<i>maskei</i>	INHER-Amphibia-236	Dandekar et al. 2020	Chikhaldara, Melghat, Maharashtra	MT773255	21.4183	77.3157
46	<i>maskei</i>	Sb31HNBGU	Choudhary et al. 2017, unpubl.	Chandrabani, Dehradun, Uttarakhand	KX815435	30.2848	77.9737
47	<i>maskei</i>	Sb32HNBGU	Choudhary et al. 2017, unpubl.	Chandrabani, Dehradun, Uttarakhand	KX815436	30.2848	77.9737
48	<i>maskei</i>	SbHR25HNBGU	Choudhary et al. 2017, unpubl.	Jagespur, Haridwar, Uttarakhand	KX815437	29.9189	78.1262
49	<i>maskei</i>	Sb27HNBGU	Choudhary et al. 2017, unpubl.	Jagespur, Haridwar, Uttarakhand	KX815438	29.9189	78.1262
50	<i>maskei</i>	INHER-Amphibia-240	Dandekar et al. 2020	Pandharkawda, Maharashtra	MT773256	20.0962	78.5322
51	<i>maskei</i>	INHER-Amphibia-239	Dandekar et al. 2020	Pandharkawda, Maharashtra	MT773257	20.0962	78.5322
52	<i>maskei</i>	SbSG17HNBGU	Choudhary et al. 2017, unpubl.	Ravadi, Uttarakhand	KX815440	30.2218	78.7843
53	<i>maskei</i>	SbJM1HNBGU	Choudhary et al. 2017, unpubl.	Joshimath, Uttarakhand	KX815439	30.5544	79.5664
54	<i>maskei</i>	INHER Amphibia-194	Dandekar et al. 2020	Maregaon, Chandrapur, Maharashtra	MT773260	20.2579	79.7566
55	<i>maskei</i>	INHER Amphibia-201	Dandekar et al. 2020	Ekara, Chandrapur, Maharashtra	MT773261	20.3822	79.8102
56	<i>maskei</i>	WIADA225	Prasad et al. 2020	Panna Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh	MN741157	24.6300	79.9737
57	<i>maskei</i>	WIADA232	Prasad et al. 2020	Panna Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh	MN741158	24.6300	79.9737
58	<i>maskei</i>	BNHS 6017	Padhye et al. 2017	Salher Fort Road, Maharashtra	KY215987	20.7470	73.9730
59	<i>bengaluru</i>	ZSI/WRS/A/2285	Deepak et al. 2020	Bengaluru, Karnataka	MW077539	13.1876	77.5253
60	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU423	Kathiwada et al. 2021	Ghyvalchok, Gorkha, Nepal	MT983173	-27.81	-84.75
60	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU424	Kathiwada et al. 2021	Ghyvalchok, Gorkha, Nepal	MT983174	-27.81	-84.75
61	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU425	Kathiwada et al. 2021	Hattibang, Chitwan, Nepal	MT983175	-27.73	-84.61
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU426	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983176	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU427	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983177	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU428	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983178	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU429	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983179	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU430	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983180	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU431	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983181	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU432	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983182	-	-
61	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU433	Kathiwada et al. 2021	Hattibang, Chitwan, Nepal	MT983183	-27.73	-84.61
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU434	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983184	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU435	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983185	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU436	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983186	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU437	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983187	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU438	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983188	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU439	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983189	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU440	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983190	-	-
-	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU441	Kathiwada et al. 2021	?	MT983191	-	-
62	<i>maskei</i>	CDZMTU442	Kathiwada et al. 2021	Chhintang, Dhankuta, Nepal	MT983192	-26.91	-87.16
63	<i>breviceps</i>	CDZMTU443	Kathiwada et al. 2021	Dharan (Jabdi), Sunsari district, Nepal	MT983193	-26.67	-87.19
63	<i>breviceps</i>	CDZMTU444	Kathiwada et al. 2021	Dharan (Jabdi), Sunsari district, Nepal	MT983194	-26.67	-87.19
63	<i>breviceps</i>	CDZMTU445	Kathiwada et al. 2021	Dharan (Jabdi), Sunsari district, Nepal	MT983195	-26.67	-87.19
-	<i>maskei</i>	[rolandae]	Sharma et al. 2009, unpubl.	unknown	GU191122	-	-

References:

- Bossuyt, F.; Milinkovitch, M.C. Convergent adaptive radiations in Madagascan and Asian ranid frogs reveal covariation between larval and adult traits. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 2000, 97 (12), 6585-6590.
- Dandekar, N.; Sulakhe, S.; Padhye, A. Range extension of the western burrowing frog, *Sphaerotheca pashchima* (Anura: Dicroglossidae), in Central and Northern India, with an overview of the distribution of other Indian species in the genus *Sphaerotheca*. *Reptiles & Amphibians* 2020, 27 (3), 390–396.
- Delorme, M.; Dubois, A.; Kosuch, J.; Vences, M. Molecular phylogenetic relationships of *Lankanectes corrugatus* from Sri Lanka: endemism of South Asian frogs and the concept of monophyly in phylogenetic studies. *Alytes* 2004, 22 (1-2), 53-64.
- Deepak, P.; Dinesh, K.P.; Prasad, K.V.; Das, A.; Ashadevi, J.S. Distribution status of the Western Burrowing Frog, *Sphaerotheca pashchima* in India. *Zootaxa* 2020, 4894 (1), 146–150, doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.4894.1.10.
- Hasan, M.; Islam, M.M.; Khan, M.R.; Igawa, T.; Alam, M.S.; Djong, H.T.; Kurniawan, N.; Joshy, H.; Sen, Y.H., Belabut, D.M.; Kurabayashi, A.; Kuramoto, M.; Sumida, M. Genetic divergences of South and Southeast Asian frogs: a case study of several taxa based on 16S ribosomal RNA gene data with notes on the generic name *Fejervarya*. *Turkish Journal of Zoology*, 2014, 38 (4):1-22.
- Khatiwada, J.R.; Wang, B.; Zhao, T.; Xie, F.; Jiang, J. An integrative taxonomy of amphibians of Nepal: an updated status and distribution. *Asian Herpetological Research* 2021, 12 (1), 1-35. doi: 10.16373/j.cnki.ahr.200050
- Kotaki, M.; Kurabayashi, A.; Matsui, M.; Khonsue, W.; Djong, T.H.; Tandon, M.; Sumida, M. Genetic divergences and phylogenetic relationships among the *Fejervarya limnocharis* complex in Thailand and neighboring countries revealed by mitochondrial and nuclear genes. *Zoological Science*, 2008, 25 (4), 381-390.
- Mulcahy, D.G.; Lee, J.L.; Miller, A.H.; Chand, M.; Kyaw Thura, M.; Zug, G.R. Filling the BINs of Life: Report of an amphibian and reptile survey of the Tanintharyi (Tenasserim) Region of Myanmar, with DNA barcode data. *ZooKeys* 2018, 757, 85-152. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.757.24453
- Padhye, A.; Dahanukar, N.; Sulakhe, S.; Dandekar, N.; Limaye, S.; Jamdade, K. *Sphaerotheca pashchima*, a new species of bur-rowing frog (Anura: Dicroglossidae) from western India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 2017, 9 (6), 10286–10296, doi: 10.11609/jott.2877.9.6.10286-10296.
- Prasad, V.K.; Dinesh, K.P.; Das, A.; Swamy, P.; Shinde, A.D.; Vishnu, J.B. A new species of *Sphaerotheca* Gunther, 1859 (Amphibia: Anura: Dicroglossidae) from the agro ecosystems of Chota Nagpur Plateau, India. *Records of Zoological Survey of India* 2019, 119 (3), 197–210, doi: 10.26515/rzsi/v119/i3/2019/132173.
- Vences, M.; Glaw, F.; Kosuch, J.; Das, I.; Veith, M. Phylogenetic studies of ranoid frogs (Amphibia: Anura), with a discussion of the origin and evolution of the vertebrate clades of Madagascar. In: Lourenco, W.R.; Goodman, S.M. (Eds.); *Diversite et Endemisme de Madagascar*, 1999, 229-242; *Memoires de la Societe de Biogeographie*, Paris, France.

Supplemental Information Figure S1

Figure S1. Maximum-likelihood tree of the genus *Sphaerotheca* with main detected clades. The details on the geographic distribution of detected clades you can see on Fig. 2. Colors correspond with clades showed on Fig. 3. Rectangles at branch nodes refer to bootstrap values ≥ 75 .



Supplemental Information Figure S2

Figure S2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of defined clades of *Sphaerotheca* genus with suggested taxonomic affiliation showing inter- and intra-clade distances. The oval outlines in PCA represent 95% confidence intervals. First (PC1) and second (PC2) principal components explain 18.4% and 13.9% of the observed variance.

